

FILED
05 OCT -7 PM 12:31
RICHARD E. FILING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Monique R. Linson, State Bar No. 180054
BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA LLP
20320 S.W. Birch Street
Second Floor
Newport Beach, California 92660
Telephone: (949) 221-1000
Facsimile: (949) 221-1001

Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES of AMERICA, ex rel. JEFFREY
A. SMITH

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDL

C 05 4055

UNITED STATES of AMERICA, ex rel.
JEFFREY A. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SCIOS, INC., a Delaware Corporation,
and JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New
Jersey Corporation,

Defendants.

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS
OF THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT (31
U.S.C. 3729, et seq.); DEMAND
FOR JURY TRIAL

PLAINTIFF/RELATOR, JEFFREY A. SMITH, an individual, on behalf of
THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ("PLAINTIFF")
alleges as follows:

RELATOR

1. RELATOR, JEFFREY A. SMITH ("SMITH") (*Qui Tam* Plaintiff) is
and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen of the State of California.
At all times relevant hereto, SMITH was an employee of Defendant, SCIOS, INC.
("SCIOS"), working in the capacity of Regional Business Director. In the course and
scope of his employment with SCIOS, SMITH sold the pharmaceutical
NATRECOR, generic name Neresetide, to physicians. As Regional Business
Director, SMITH was intimately familiar with SCIOS's marketing strategy with

1 respect to NATRECOR and privy to highly confidential meetings and discussions
2 relating to the same. SMITH is an "original source" as defined in 31 U.S.C.
3 3730(e)(4)(B), and has personal knowledge of all facts alleged herein.

4 DEFENDANTS

5 2. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and on such grounds alleges,
6 DEFENDANT, SCIOS is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the state of
7 Delaware, having its principal place of business in the State of California. At all
8 times relevant hereto SCIOS was engaged in the business of promoting, marketing
9 and distributing the pharmaceutical NATRECOR. SCIOS does business in the State
10 of California and throughout the United States, and at all times relevant it developed,
11 manufactured, and sold in interstate commerce and in California NATRECOR.

12 3. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and on such grounds alleges,
13 JOHNSON & JOHNSON ("J&J") is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the
14 state of New Jersey, having its principal place of business in the State of New Jersey.

15 4. This case is filed under seal pursuant to the provisions of 31 U.S.C. §
16 3730(b)(2).

17 5. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and on such grounds alleges that
18 Defendant SCIOS is a wholly owned subsidiary of Defendant J&J and the J&J
19 actively and intentionally directed and controlled the actions of SCIOS as described
20 herein and reaped the benefits of SCIOS's sale of the NATRECOR product.

21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22 6. This is an action to recover damages and civil penalties in excess of one
23 hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000.00) on behalf of the United States of America
24 arising out of false claims presented by Defendants, SCIOS and J&J under the
25 Federal Medicare Program. This action arises under the provisions of Title 31 U.S.C.
26 Section 3729, et seq., hereinafter referred to generally as "the False Claims Act."

27 7. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a).
28

8. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a), which provides that any action may be brought in any judicial district where the defendant can be found, where the defendant resides, where the defendant transacts business, or in which any act proscribed by Section 3729 occurred, as the Defendant transacts business, may be found, and engaged in proscribed conduct in this Division and District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. SCIOS launched the NATRECOR product in or around June of 2001.

10. NATRECOR is approved by the FDA for the intravenous treatment of patients with acutely decompensated congestive heart failure who have dyspnea at rest or with minimal activity.

11. In laymen's term NATRECOR is approved for use in the acute care setting, i.e.: in the hospital, when a patient presents with the inability to breathe, due to congestion.

12. Immediately after its approval by the FDA, SCIOS began expanding NATRECOR's use into two (2) off-label areas.

13. One off-label use of NATRECOR is Out Patient Infusion ("OPI"). In this scenario, a patient comes to a physician's office for a routinely scheduled infusion, known as a serial or intermittent infusion. There presently exists no consistent or meaningful data on the use of NATRECOR in this setting.

14. SCIOS took the following steps to actively promote NATRECOR for off-label OPI use:

- a. Employed an active brand manager to develop business and marketing tactics and sales forecasts around this market. The calendar year 2005 forecast for OPI was approximately 30% of NATRECOR sales by SCIOS, or approximately \$150,000,000.00. This figure represents only the drug acquisition cost.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1 g. Employed guest speakers at all sales meetings to educate and encourage
2 sales representatives to pursue the OPI market with respect to NATRECOR
3 sales.

4 15. In addition to the OPI uses described above, SCIOS also marketed the
5 NATRECOR product for off-label use in Pre-, Peri- and Post-Surgical settings.

6 16. SCIOS took the following steps to actively promote NATRECOR for
7 off-label use in Pre-, Peri- and Post-Surgical settings:

- 8 a. Provided training at all sales meetings to their sales representatives, with
9 surgeons who were currently using NATRECOR for off-label use.
- 10 b. Developed a strategy to have the Scientific Account Managers conduct so-
11 called "advisory meetings" to educate customers on off-label areas. SCIOS
12 would then have those sales representatives put together meetings to
13 educate other customers regarding what they had learned at the "advisory
14 meetings."
- 15 c. Developed a story regarding the renal protective properties of NATRECOR
16 during CT surgery and had their sales representatives relay this message to
17 their customers.
- 18 d. Developed business plans on revenue and tactics to engage in this market.
- 19 e. Conducted SCIOS sponsored CME activity to lure physicians to using
20 NATRECOR off-label.

21 17. SMITH is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the herein-
22 described conduct of SCIOS relating to the off-label marketing of NATRECOR
23 persists to the present day.

24 COUNT I - OFF-LABEL PROMOTION

25 18. SMITH realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 17 as
26 though fully set forth herein.

27 19. SCIOS, by its previously detailed actions, defrauded the United States
28 of America, Food and Drug Administration (FDA), by engaging in a scheme to

1 promote the use of NATRECOR in a manner inconsistent with the FDA labeling
2 requirement (i.e., for the intravenous treatment of patients with acutely
3 decompensated congestive heart failure who have dyspnea at rest or with minimal
4 activity).

5 20. Unknown to the FDA, SCIOS actively engaged in the marketing of
6 NATRECOR for OPI and Pre-, Peri- and Post-Surgical Settings in a calculated effort
7 to circumvent federal law.

8 21. As a result of off-label sales of NATRECOR for use in a manner
9 inconsistent with the FDA labeling requirement, the Federal Government has and
10 continues to remit millions upon millions of dollars in reimbursement for the off-
11 label sales of NATRECOR.

12 COUNT II - VIOLATIONS OF 31 U.S.C. § 3729

13 22. SMITH realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21 as
14 though fully set forth herein.

15 23. But for SCIOS scheme to promote NATRECOR in an off-label fashion,
16 the government would not be exposed to this current and future Medicare/Medicaid
17 reimbursement liability. The actions of SCIOS constituted a fraud on the government
18 in that:

- 19 a. SCIOS knowingly presented or caused to be presented, to an officer or
20 employee of the United States Government a false or fraudulent claim for
21 payment or approval (in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)).
- 22 b. SCIOS knowingly made, used, or caused to be made or used a false record
23 or statement to get a false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the
24 government (in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(2)).
- 25 c. SCIOS conspired to defraud the government by getting a false or fraudulent
26 claim allowed or paid (in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(3)).

27 ///

28 ///

**DISCLOSURE OF MATERIAL EVIDENCE AND INFORMATION IN
POSSESSION OF RELATOR**

24. SMITH realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 23 as though fully set forth herein.

25. Relator SMITH has in his possession the following information germane to the case:

- a. Confidential marketing material distributed by SCIOS detailing the off-label marketing strategy
- b. Computer files, including inter-office memoranda and sales forecasts relating to the off-label marketing of NATRECOR copied from his notebook computer used during his employ with SCIOS;
- c. Personal knowledge of information distributed by SCIOS during sales strategy meetings during the course of his employ with SCIOS.

26. Relator has also provided notice of all of the above to the Department of Justice and to Assistant United States Attorney Sara Winslow, with the U.S. Attorney's Office, Civil Division, located at 450 Golden Gate Ave., 10th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102-3495, telephone: (415) 436-6925.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff/Relator SMITH hereby demands trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted under seal this 7th day of October, 2005.

Dated: October 7, 2005

BREMER WHYTE BROWN & O'MEARA
LLP

By: Monique R. Linson
Monique R. Linson
Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES of AMERICA,
ex rel. JEFFREY A. SMITH